### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case: 2:22-cr-20370 Judge: Drain, Gershwin A. MJ: Grey, Jonathan J.C.

Plaintiff,

Filed: 07-19-2022 At 03:05 PM

INDI USA V NICOLAS WOODS-GIBBY (SS)

Violation: 21 U.S.C. § 841

-VS-

D-1 Nicolas D. WOODS-GIBBY,

Defendant.

### **INDICTMENT**

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

### **COUNT ONE**

## (21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE)

D-1 Nicolas D. WOODS-GIBBY

On or about February 28, 2022, in the Eastern District of Michigan, and elsewhere, the defendant, Nicolas D. WOODS-GIBBY, did knowingly and intentionally possess with the intent to distribute a mixture and substance containing 500 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, in

violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A)(viii).

# FORFEITURE ALLEGATION (21 U.S.C. § 853, 18 U.S.C. § 824(d), 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Criminal Forfeiture)

The allegations contained in Count One of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841, the defendant, Nicolas D. WOODS-GIBBY, shall forfeit to the United States of America any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of such offenses and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, the offenses. The Government also provides notice of its intent to seek a forfeiture money judgment.

If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or

### omission of the defendant:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

THIS IS A TRUE BILL

s/Grand Jury Foreperson
Grand Jury Foreperson
DATE:

Dawn N. Ison United States Attorney

s/Benjamin C. Coats

Benjamin C. Coats Chief, Drug Task Force

s/C. Barrington Wilkins

C. Barrington Wilkins
Assistant U.S. Attorney
211 W. Fort Street, Suite #2001
Detroit, MI 48226
barrington.wilkins@usdoj.gov

DATE: July 19, 2022



United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cove	MJ: Grey, Jonathan J.C.	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S.	Attorney signing this form to complet	Filed: 07-19-2022 At 03:05 PM INDI USA V NICOLAS WOODS-G	IBBY (SS)
Companion Case Informatio	n Cor	mpanion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:		dge Assigned:	
□ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials:	
Case Title: USA v. Nicolas I	D. Woods-Gibby		
County where offense occu	rred : Wayne		
Check One: ⊠Felony	☐Misdem	neanor	
Indictment/Info		nt. complaint [Case number: 22-30106 57.10 (d) <i>[Complete Superseding section belo</i>	] <b>ɔw]</b> .
Superseding Case Informatio	n		
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:	<u> </u>
Involves, for plea purpos	onal charges or defendants. es, different charges or adds matter but adds the additiona	counts. al defendants or charges below:	
Defendant name	Charges	s Prior Complaint (if app	licable)
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.	listed Assistant United S	States Attorney is the attorney of re	ecord for
July 19, 2022	d. Bin	igher WM win	
Date	211 W. Fort St Detroit, MI 482 Phone:313-226 Fax: 313-226	I States Attorney reet, Suite 2001 226-3277 6-9621 6-3265 <sub>3:</sub> Barrington.Wilkins@usdoj.gov	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.